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#### Abstract

Most existing techniques in map computation (e.g., in the form of feature or dense correspondences) assume that the underlying map between an object pair is unique. This assumption, however, easily breaks when visual objects possess self-symmetries. In this paper, we study the problem of jointly optimizing symmetry groups and pair-wise maps among a collection of symmetric objects. We introduce a lifting map representation for encoding both symmetry groups and maps between symmetry groups. Based on this representation, we introduce a computational framework for joint symmetry and map synchronization. Experimental results show that this approach outperforms state-of-theart approaches for symmetry detection from a single object as well as joint map optimization among an object collection.
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## 1 Introduction

Establishing maps across visual objects is a long standing problem in visual computing with rich applications in structure-from-motion [3,9], joint segmentation [48,49], and label/attribute transfer [2,31,50], among others. While early works focus on computing maps between pairs of objects in isolation (c.f. [21]), a recent trend is to jointly compute consistent maps across a collection of objects $[19,16,17,42,20,24,37,38,5,66,67,51,29]$. This is motivated from the fact that (i) many applications (e.g., multi-view structure-from-motion $[54,8]$ and co-segmentation $[60,56]$ ) require consistent correspondences, and (ii) optimizing consistent maps enables us to improve maps computed between pairs of objects in isolation (c.f. [16]). The promise of these approaches is that pairwise maps between dissimilar objects can often be improved by composing maps along paths of similar objects.

However, all existing joint map optimization techniques place the assumption that there is only one underlying map between two visual objects. It turns out this assumption does not hold in many scenarios. In particular, when the underlying objects are symmetric, there are multiple plausible maps between each object pair. This introduces issues both for map computation between pairs of objects and for optimizing consistent maps across multiple objects. To address


Fig. 1. Category specific reconstruction from internet images. (a) Subset of input images with matched edge feature points [12]. (b) Reconstruction using our approach. Color-coding highlights the recovered symmetry group.
this issue, a straightforward approach is to detect the underlying self-symmetry group of each object first, and then perform matching in factored or quotient spaces (c.f.[39]). This approach, however, is not optimal, since symmetry detection is quite challenging (especially for images where the symmetric parts may be occluded), and errors introduced in the symmetry detection phase cannot be recovered in the joint matching phase.

In this paper, we consider the problem of jointly optimizing the underlying symmetry groups and consistent pairwise maps. We call this problem joint symmetry and map synchronization. The motivation comes from the fact that symmetry detection and map computation are highly correlated. Besides the fact that computing the underlying symmetry groups facilitates map computation, maps can also propagate symmetry groups across objects, allowing us to rectify a noisy symmetry group computed from one object by propagating clean symmetry groups computed from other objects.

To formulate joint symmetry and map synchronization, we propose a representation that encodes symmetry groups and pair-wise maps using the product operator (also known as lifting in the literature [27][18][23,58]). We prove that for many symmetry groups in our physical world (e.g., reflection symmetry and n-fold rotational symmetry among others), this representation admits exact symmetry group decoding. In addition, we show that the computational cost incurred by the product operator can be effectively addressed via reduced functional basis [38]. Using this representation, we introduce a continuous optimization formulation for joint symmetry and map synchronization. The input consists of symmetry groups and pair-wise maps independently computed using off-the-shelf techniques in each domain. The output consists of consistent symmetry groups and pair-wise maps. Our approach exhibits a few appealing properties. First, the input symmetry groups and pair-wise maps may be noisy and incomplete (e.g., one input self-symmetry for a subset of objects and one object map per pair among a subset of object pairs), and our approach automatically rectifies, propagates and completes symmetry groups and pair-wise maps. Moreover, when we have sufficient data, we do not need to specify the type of the underlying symmetry group. It can be automatically determined by the input data, i.e., by aggregating self-symmetries among the input objects.

We evaluated our approach on a diverse set of object collections ranging from 2D images to 3D models. Experimental results show that our approach outperforms state-of-the-art methods in joint map computation from an object collection and symmetry group detection from each object in isolation.

## 2 Related Works

Map synchronization. An emerging focus in object matching is to optimize maps jointly among an object collection. The intuition is that the map between a pair of dissimilar objects can be obtained by composing maps along a path of similar object pairs. A way to formalize this intuition is through the cycle-consistency constraint, i.e., composite maps along cycles should be identity maps. This has led to the problem of map synchronization, which takes as input maps computed between pairs of objects in isolation and outputs improved maps that are consistent along cycles. In [16], Huang and Guibas established a connection between the cycle-consistency constraint and the fact that data matrices that store cycle-consistent pair-wise maps in blocks are low-rank and/or positive semi-definite. This work has stimulated several recent works that formulate map synchronization as low-rank matrix recovery using convex optimization [16,5] and non-convex optimization $[20,67,51,7]$. Our approach also falls into this category. However, the focus is on establishing a matrix representation for joint map and symmetry synchronization.
Co-symmetry detection and matching. Symmetry detection can be considered a variant of shape matching, which seeks to compute self-maps that preserve extrinsic [36,44,22,52] or intrinsic [40,28,65,46,45,25,64,63,62] distances. In this regard, symmetry detection shares a similar limitation with pair-wise matching, namely, existing methods tend to break when the underlying symmetries become more and more approximate. To address this issue, we propose to optimize symmetry groups jointly among an object collection to improve the resulting symmetry group on each object. To the best of our knowledge, existing works on this topic have focused on individual pairs of objects so far. [33] proposed to detect a reflection symmetry axis for boosting the performance of correspondence computation. [39] introduced the first approach for factorizing out the underlying symmetry group when establishing shape maps. However, the approach only considers a pair of objects and does not utilize lifting. In addition, the formulation requires specifying the underlying symmetry group, or in other words, it does not perform joint inference of symmetries and maps. [57] developed an approach for joint structure recovery and matching of man-made 3D shapes. The approach is based on graph matching, and thus requires part-based representations as input.
Matching via lifting. Our symmetry group encoding scheme is motivated from the idea of lifting for convex relaxations of second-order MAP inference [27,18]. When using indicators associated with the first-order potential functions, the second-order potential functions become quadratic in these indicator variables. The idea of lifting is to introduce an additional variable for each quadratic term.


Fig. 2. This figure is better viewed in color. Corresponding points have the same colors. (Left) This input consists of one self-map per-shape and one pair-wise map per-pair. Here we show one shape among 20 shapes and one pair among 380 pairs. (Right) The output of our algorithm on the same shape and shape pair, respectively. Note that our approach not only improves symmetry groups and pair-wise maps, but we also complete the missing ones by propagating self-symmetries and maps.

Using these additional variables (which form the lifted space), the objective function becomes linear and easy to solve. The lifting idea has also been used for object matching [23,14,58]. The novelty of our representation includes (1) the decoding scheme, (2) the types of symmetry groups that can be exactly recovered (to be introduced later), and (3) various properties of this encoding scheme, which will be used in formulating joint map and symmetry synchronization.

## 3 Problem Statement and Approach Overview

This section formally states the joint map and symmetry synchronization problem that is studied in this paper and presents an overview of our approach.
Problem statement. Suppose we are given $n$ relevant objects $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$. In this paper, we focus on the case where objects are discrete point sets (e.g., image pixels [32] and feature/sample points extracted from images [34] or shapes [30]), and where a map or a self-map is given by a set of point-wise correspondences.

We assume these objects are generated from an underlying universal object $\bar{S}_{0}$ (also a point set), which possesses a symmetry group. The generation process consists of taking a subset and/or deformation. This setting covers many practical scenarios. For example, $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ could be partial observations of an underlying object (e.g., in multi-view structure from motion). As another example, $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ could also be objects that fall into the same category (See Figure 2). The input to our approach consists of noisy pair-wise maps $P_{i j}^{i n}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{j},(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ computed using off-the-shelf methods along a pre-computed edge set $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}$ includes self loops, each of which is associated with a pre-computed self-map $P_{i i}^{i n}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$. The output of our approach consists of the universal object $\bar{S}_{0}$, its symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ (we write $\mathcal{G}$ instead of $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ to make the notations uncluttered), and an embedding map $P_{i 0}: S_{i} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$ for each object $S_{i}$. As we
will see later, the pairwise maps from $S_{i}$ to $S_{j}$ are induced from $\left\{P_{i 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $\mathcal{G}$.

An important feature of our approach is that it takes as input incomplete observations (e.g., one pair-wise map per object pair despite the fact that the underlying symmetry group suggests multiple plausible pair-wise maps). The promise of our approach comes from the fact that when looking at the input maps among an object collection as a whole, each element of the underlying symmetry group and the corresponding all pair-wise maps are densely sampled, providing sufficient observations for recovery.
Approach overview. The main idea of our approach is to develop a matrix representation of symmetry groups and maps, which allows us to formulate joint map and symmetry synchronization as optimizing matrices. A simple approach is to use a binary correspondence matrix to encode correspondences in symmetry groups and pair-wise maps. As we will discuss later, this representation is insufficient when the size of a symmetry group is bigger than 2 . To address this issue, we propose to use the product operator for encoding. We show that our proposed encoding is lossless for many symmetry groups. Using the same idea, we then show how to define and encode embedding maps $P_{i 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and pairwise maps $P_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Based on this encoding, we propose an optimization framework for recovering the underlying embedding maps $P_{i 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and self-symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$. To address the computational overhead incurred by the tensor operator, we show how to perform joint map and symmetry synchronization using reduced basis (c.f. [38]).

## 4 Symmetry and Map Representation via Lifting

In this section, we show how to effectively encode symmetry groups and pairwise maps under the point-based representation. Section 4.1 describes how to encode symmetry groups. Section 4.2 introduces how to encode maps between symmetric objects that are partially similar.

### 4.1 Symmetry Groups

Consider an object $S$ that consists of $m$ points. A self-map is given by a permutation $P \in[0,1]^{m \times m}$, where each row and column has exactly one non-zero entry. With $\mathcal{P}_{m}$ we denote the space of all permutations. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}_{m}$ denote a symmetry group associated with $S$. For a thorough introduction to symmetry groups, we refer to [11] for more details.

In this paper, we are interested in symmetry groups where the largest orbit size is equal to the group size:
Definition 1. Let $o_{\mathcal{G}}(i) \subset\{1, \cdots, m\}$ denote the orbit ${ }^{3}$ of the $i$-th element under a symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$. We call $\mathcal{G}$ a cyclic group if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{G}|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}\left|o_{\mathcal{G}}(i)\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Note that cyclic groups cover a rich family of symmetry groups in our physical world. For example, standard reflection, n-fold rotational symmetry, and translation symmetries (both in the intrinsic and extrinsic sense) can be described as cyclic groups under suitable placements of samples.

When considering matrix representations of $\mathcal{G}$, a straight-forward approach is to use a correspondence matrix (of dimension $m \times m$ ) to encode all correspondences induced from the symmetry group. The downside of this encoding, however, is that when $|\mathcal{G}|>2$, there may exist multiple symmetry groups that correspond to the same encoding. One such example is given in Appendix A. To address this issue, we propose to consider the following encoding using the tensor operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q:=\sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}} P \otimes P \quad \in\{0,1\}^{m^{2} \times m^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A key property of $Q$ is that when $\mathcal{G}$ is a cyclic group, then it can be recovered from the elements of $Q$ :

Proposition 1. If a symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$ is a cyclic group, then it can be exactly recovered from the elements of $Q$.

To prove Prop. 1 (details are deferred to Appendix B.2) and for later usage, we define the following linear operator that shuffles the elements of $Q$ :
Definition 2. Consider a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}^{2} \times m_{2}^{2}}$. Let $A_{a, b}$ denote ( $a, b$ )-th element of matrix $A$. Define $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}^{2} \times m_{2}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} m_{2} \times m_{1} m_{2}}$ as
$\mathcal{F}(A)_{i_{1} m_{2}+i_{2}, j_{1} m_{2}+j_{2}}=A_{i_{1} m_{1}+j_{1}, i_{2} m_{2}+j_{2}}, \quad 0 \leq i_{1}, j_{1} \leq m_{1}-1,0 \leq i_{2}, j_{2} \leq m_{2}-1$.
It is easy to check that $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ is low-rank:
Fact 1. Let $\operatorname{vec}(P)=\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}^{T}, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{m}^{T}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m^{2}}$ be the vector that unfolds the columns of $P=\left(\mathbf{p}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(Q)=\sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}} \operatorname{vec}(P) \cdot \operatorname{vec}(P)^{T} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See Appendix B.1.

### 4.2 Maps between Symmetry Groups

We proceed to define and encode maps between symmetry objects. To handle the case, where two objects being matched are subsets of an underlying complete object, we first define maps from a partial object to a complete object. Later, we show how to extend this setting to properly defined maps between partially similar objects.

Let us consider a source object $S_{1}$ and a target object $\bar{S}_{0}$ (which denotes the underlying universal object in this paper). To define the map from $S_{1}$ to $\bar{S}_{0}$, we introduce a latent object $\bar{S}_{1}$ that is (i) a superset of $S_{1}$, and (ii) a copy of $\bar{S}_{0}$,
i.e., $\left|\bar{S}_{1}\right|=\left|\bar{S}_{0}\right|$, and the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ of $\bar{S}_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$ of $\bar{S}_{0}$. Our goal is to define the map from $S_{1}$ to $\bar{S}_{0}$ through the inclusion map $E_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{1}$ and a properly defined map from $\bar{S}_{1}$ to $\bar{S}_{0}$ :
Definition 3. Let $f_{1}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{1}$ be the group isomorphism. We say a map $\bar{P}_{10}$ : $\bar{S}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$ is proper if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}_{10} \cdot f_{1}(P)=P \cdot \bar{P}_{10}, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{G} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\bar{P}_{10}$ is proper if it is consistent with the underlying symmetry groups. It is clear that each map $\bar{P}_{10}$ induces an equivalence class $\left\{P \bar{P}_{10}, P \in \mathcal{G}\right\}$. Now we are ready to define proper maps from $S_{1}$ to $\bar{S}_{0}$ :

Definition 4. We say a map $P_{10}: S_{1} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$ is proper if there exist $\bar{S}_{1} \supset S_{1}$ and a proper map $\bar{P}_{10}: \bar{S}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{10}=\bar{P}_{10} \cdot E_{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $P_{10}$ also induces an equivalent class $\mathcal{M}_{10}:=\left\{P P_{10}, P \in \mathcal{G}\right\}$.
We again use the product operator to encode $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{10}=\sum_{P_{10} \in \mathcal{M}_{10}} P_{10} \otimes P_{10} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to symmetry groups, we have the following recovering condition:
Proposition 2. When $\mathcal{G}$ is a cyclic group and $S_{1}$ contains one element of a maximal orbit of $\bar{S}_{1}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ can be exactly recovered from the elements of $Q_{10}$ described in (6).

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 1 and is deferred to Appendix B.3. Now we are ready to define maps between a partially similar object pair ( $S_{1}, S_{2}$ ):

Definition 5. We say a map $P_{12}: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ is proper, if there exist proper maps $P_{i 0}: S_{i} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{12}=P_{20}^{T} P_{10} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that each proper map $P_{12}$ induces an equivalence class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{12}:=\left\{P_{20}^{T} P P_{10}, P \in \mathcal{G}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ is independent of the particular choice of $P_{i 0}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq 2$.

Again, we encode $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ using the tensor product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{12}:=\sum_{P_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}} P_{12} \otimes P_{12} \stackrel{(8)}{=}\left(P_{20} \otimes P_{20}\right)^{T} Q_{00}\left(P_{10} \otimes P_{10}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we introduce a property of $\mathcal{F}\left(Q_{12}\right)$, which we will use later:

Proposition 3. When $\left|o_{\mathcal{G}}(i)\right|=|\mathcal{G}|, 1 \leq i \leq m$, then each $\operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right)$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{F}\left(Q_{12}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(Q_{12}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right)=\left\|\operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right)\right\|^{2} \cdot \operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right), \quad \forall P_{12} \in \mathcal{M}_{12} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is straight-forward, as two different members of $\mathcal{M}_{12}$, their nonzero entries do not overlap. In practice, the number of elements where $\left|o_{\mathcal{G}}(i)\right|<$ $|\mathcal{G}|$ remains small (e.g., points on 1D reflection axis versus points on the entire image). This means (10) is at least approximately satisfied.

## 5 Functional-Based Joint Map and Symmetry Synchronization

In this section, we introduce a computational framework for joint map and symmetry synchronization. Section 5.1 describes a generalized representation for symmetry groups and maps using reduced basis. Section 5.2 then introduces an efficient approach using this reduced representation.

### 5.1 Symmetry and Map Representation Using Reduced Basis

Laplacian reduced basis. We begin with reviewing the reduced basis representation for encoding maps between discrete objects [38,61,47]. Consider an object $S$ with $m$ points, we associate $S$ with an orthogonal matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, which projects $S$ onto the feature space spanned by the columns of $B$. Following [38,61], we use the first $k$ eigenvectors of Laplacian matrices, e.g., graph Laplacian for images and Laplace-Beltrami for 3D meshes. Note that it is possible to use other basis computation methods such as partial functional map [47]. We leave this as a future work.

Given two objects $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ and the associated basis $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, we represent a map from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$ in the feature space as a matrix $X_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ (called functional map in the literature ([38]). Given a point-based map $P_{12}: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$, we can derive the corresponding functional map as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{12}:=\underset{X}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|X B_{1}^{T}-B_{2}^{T} P_{12}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}=B_{2}^{T} P_{12} B_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the other direction, we convert a functional map $X_{12}$ into a point-map $P_{12}$ via nearest neighbor query with respect to rows of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. More precisely, for the $i$-th point of $S_{1}$, we compute the index of its target point as $j^{\star}=\underset{j}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|B_{2}^{T} P_{12} \mathbf{e}_{j}-X_{12} B_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right\|,{ }^{4}$ where $A \mathbf{e}_{i}$ extracts the $i$-th column of $A$.

Given the underlying point-based map $P_{12}$, this functional map encoding scheme is accurate if there exists a linear map $X_{12}$ such that $B_{2}^{T} P_{12} \approx X_{12} B_{1}^{T}$.

[^1]In our experiments, we found using $k=25$ Laplacian basis provides a fairly accurate encoding between discrete objects. We will also analyze the effects of varying $k$ in the supplemental material.
Encoding using reduced functional basis. Using reduced basis to encode symmetry groups and maps between symmetry groups is quite similar to that in the point-based representation. Let $B_{0}$ be a basis of $\bar{S}_{0}$ (As we see later, our algorithm will recover both $\bar{S}_{0}$ and the reduced basis $B_{0}$. With $X_{00}=$ $B_{0}^{T} P B_{0}, X_{10}=B_{0}^{T} P_{10} B_{1}^{T}$ and $X_{12}=B_{2}^{T} P_{12} B_{1}$ we denote the functional map representations of $P, P_{10}$ and $P_{12}$, respectively. With this setup, we rewrite the functional representations of (2), (6) and (9) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{00}:=\sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}}\left(X_{00} \otimes X_{00}\right), \quad Y_{10}:=\sum_{P_{10} \in \mathcal{M}_{10}}\left(X_{10} \otimes X_{10}\right),  \tag{12}\\
& Y_{12}:=\left(X_{20} \otimes X_{20}\right)^{T} Y_{00}\left(X_{10} \otimes X_{10}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Encoding using reduced Laplacian basis shares many properties as using the point-based representation. As discussed in Proposition 3, vec $\left(P_{12}\right)$ is approximately an eigen-vector of $Q_{12}$. We find that under mild conditions (See Appendix B. 5 for a discussion), this property also holds under the reduced basis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{12}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{12}\right) \approx\left\|\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{12}\right)\right\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{12}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Decoding via alternating minimization. Once we have obtained an encoding $Y_{i 0}$ under reduced basis, we recover the underlying functional maps and pointbased maps via alternating minimization (c.f. [38]). Motivated from Fact 1, the functional maps are forced to lie within the leading eigenspace of $\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i 0}\right)$. Due to space constraint, the details are left in Appendix C.

### 5.2 Joint Map and Symmetry Synchronization

In this section, we describe our approach for joint map and symmetry synchronization using the representation developed above. At this stage, we assume we have computed reduced basis $B_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We also assume we have computed initial functional maps $X_{i j}^{i n},(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$ along an edge set $\mathcal{E}$. Both the input maps and the edge set are specified by off-the-shelf approaches, and we will discuss them in Section 6. Our goal is to recover the underlying universal object $\bar{S}_{0}$, its symmetry group $G_{0}$, and the maps $P_{i 0}: S_{i} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{0}$. Our approach consists of a synchronization step and an extraction step.
Synchronization. We introduce a $n \times n$ block matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n k^{2} \times n k^{2}}$ that encodes each $Y_{i j}$ in the block of $Y$, i.e., $Y_{i j}$ corresponds to $j$-th row and $i$-th column of $Y$. Similar to the point-based representation, $Y$ is low-rank. To see this, we introduce $Z_{i}=Y_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $Z=\left(Z_{1}^{T}, \cdots, Z_{n}^{T}\right)^{T}$. Then (13) gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Z Z^{T} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We propose to utilize numerical optimization to obtain $Y$ and $Z$. Specifically, by combing (15) and (14), we arrive at the following objective function for
recovery:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{Y, Z} \sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-\right\| \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\left\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|+\lambda\left\|Y-Z Z^{T}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Frobenius norm. Note that we use the block-wise L1-norm to suppress the noise in the input. We set $\lambda=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ in our experiments. In this paper, we combine spectral initialization and reweighted non-linear least squares to solve (16). The details are deferred to Appendix D.
Decoding. Since our goal is to recover the underlying object $\bar{S}_{0}$, merely recovering pair-wise maps $Y_{i j}$ is insufficient. In contrast, we seek to recover $X_{i 0}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq n$ and $Y_{00}$. Let $Z^{\star}$ be the optimal solution to (16). If $Z^{\star}$ is an exact recovery, then there exists $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k^{2} \times k^{2}}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \cdot Z_{i}^{\star T}=X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad Y_{00}=V^{-1^{T}} V^{-1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For decoding, we set up the following objective function to recover $V$ and $X_{i 0}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{V, X_{i 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V \cdot Z_{i}^{\star T}-X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}+\mu\left\|V-I_{k^{2}}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second term is introduced to avoid obtaining the trivial solution $V=$ $0, X_{i 0}=0,1 \leq i \leq n$. In our experiment, we used $\mu=10^{-3}$. We again perform alternating minimization to solve (18). Please refer to Appendix E for details.

As $X_{i 0}$ aligns the feature space associated with $S_{i}$ with that of the latent universal object $S_{0}$, we apply clustering on the columns of $X_{i 0} B_{i}^{T}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ to recover $B_{0}$, which also specifies $S_{0}$. In our experiments, we used single-linkage clustering and set the total number of clusters as $m$. We discard all clusters whose size are smaller than 3 . Each cluster center corresponds to one column of $B_{0}$. Finally, we apply the decoding scheme described in (20) to recover the underlying symmetry group $G$.

## 6 Results

In this section, we evaluate our approach for joint map and symmetry synchronization on 2D images (Section 6.1) and 3D shapes (Section 6.2).

### 6.1 Experimental Evaluations on 2D Images

Experimental Setup. As illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 3, we consider the application of reconstructing a generic sparse 3D pointcloud from multi-views of similar but different objects [67,4,59] (i.e., category-specific reconstruction). A crucial task for the reconstruction is to establish consistent feature correspondences across multiple images. We share this general motivation in our experiments, but focus on symmetric objects. In this regard, we collect two datasets. The first dataset consists of 16 images of similar stool objects that possess a


Fig. 3. Category specific reconstruction from internet images. (a) Subset of input images with matched edge feature points [12]. (b) Reconstruction using our approach. Color-coding highlights the recovered symmetry group.
four-way rotational symmetry (See Figure 1). The second dataset consists of 16 images of similar trash container objects (See Figure 3). For both datasets, we annotate keypoints for evaluating feature correspondences. The full datasets and annotated feature correspondences are included in Appendix H. The same as $[67,4,59]$, we assume the underlying objects are segmented out. In addition, we also evaluated on Sedan and SUV from [67]. We use these two datasets to compare our approach against standard joint map optimization approaches that do not explicitly consider the underlying symmetry group.

We follow the procedure described in [67], which applies structural forests [12] and graph matching [6] to generate the feature points and perform pair-wise matching, respectively. The reduced basis we utilize are the first $k=25$ eigenvectors of unnormalized graph Laplacian of the graphs used in pair-wise matching (See [6] for more details). For Stool and TrashContainer, we also apply this procedure to match each image with itself, which gives a self-map. To test whether the improvement of our algorithm on symmetry detection is consistent with respect to different input symmetries, we also evaluated on applying [13] for symmetry detection (we used the same procedure to exclude identity maps). Regarding the edge set $\mathcal{E}$, we connect each image with 8-closest images in terms of affinity scores for Stool and TrashContainer. The same as [67], we let $\mathcal{E}$ connect all image pairs for Sedan and SUV.

We consider four state-of-the-art synchronization techniques [19,66,67,7] for baseline evaluation. In particular, [19] synchronizes consistent functional maps; [66] optimizes point-based correspondences by enforcing three-cycles; [67] applies a fast low-rank matrix recovery approach to optimize consistent point-wise correspondences. [7] uses non-convex optimization to obtain consistent point-wise correspondences. The same as [67], we report the percentage of feature correspondences whose error with respect to annotated feature correspondences fall within a varying threshold $\delta$. Note that for Stool and TrashContainer, we evaluate with respect to the closest map (in terms of cumulative feature correspondence error) induced by the underlying symmetry group. This is in contrast to evaluating the quality of each individual correspondence with respect to symmetric correspondences, where the closest correspondences may be inconsistent with each other.

|  | Stool | TC | Sedan | SUV | Octop. | Arma. | Ant | Bird | Fish | 4leg | Glass. | Hand | Human | Plane | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sym-In | 71.2 | 68.7 | na | na | 52.4 | 72.9 | 69.1 | 59.3 | 54.5 | 64.0 | 78.2 | 55.1 | 89.3 | 61.2 | 67.0 |
| Sym-Out | 84.5 | 81.2 | na | na | 76.1 | 91.2 | 81.0 | 67.2 | 71.3 | 79.2 | 90.1 | 69.2 | 94.5 | 73.2 | 79.7 |
| SymII-In | 65.9 | 63.1 | na | na | 48.3 | 68.1 | 66.4 | 57.4 | 51.5 | 63.2 | 71.7 | 49.2 | 81.3 | 60.0 | 63.2 |
| SymII-Out | 79.8 | 77.4 | na | na | 68.0 | 81.2 | 77.3 | 74.2 | 70.1 | 80.7 | 86.5 | 57.1 | 90.3 | 72.4 | 76.6 |
| Input | 60.7 | 58.5 | na | na | 44.9 | 69.5 | 64.1 | 53.0 | 48.1 | 58.0 | 76.3 | 51.7 | 83.8 | 35.7 | 60.1 |
| Huang14 | 68.2 | 60.6 | na | na | 58.1 | 69.4 | 69.0 | 54.3 | 42.8 | 76.9 | 71.1 | 66.3 | 90.9 | 42.4 | 64.8 |
| Zhou15a | 63.2 | 59.8 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | a |
| Zhou15b | 64.6 | 62.8 | na | na | 56.5 | 70.1 | 71.1 | 51.3 | 43.7 | 71.9 | 66.7 | 68.1 | 86.1 | 44.4 | 63.7 |
| Cosmo17 | 61.9 | 59.7 | na | na | 54.9 | 68.2 | 71.6 | 51.8 | 42.8 | 71.9 | 64.7 | 67.0 | 86.4 | 44.4 | 63.2 |
| Ours | 80.6 | 75.2 | na | na | 74.6 | 81.2 | 76.3 | 62.9 | 54.1 | 80.1 | 78.3 | 71.1 | 92.1 | 53.7 | 72.2 |
| Input | na | na | 78.7 | 77.6 | na | 65.7 | 47.8 | 39.8 | 45.6 | 56.6 | 54.1 | 47.5 | 69.4 | 30.2 | 50.7 |
| Huang14 | na | na | 85.9 | 87.1 | na | 69.6 | 59.0 | 52.2 | 42.3 | 76.5 | 59.3 | 66.2 | 90.9 | 39.4 | 61.7 |
| Zhou15a | na | na | 86.1 | 85.2 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| Zhou15b | na | na | 87.7 | 86.2 | na | 68.2 | 59.3 | 47.6 | 46.1 | 70.3 | 59.2 | 59.7 | 88.1 | 38.5 | 59.7 |
| Cosmo17 | na | na | 84.5 | 83.4 | na | 67.1 | 61.4 | 44.9 | 42.8 | 71.3 | 57.9 | 61.4 | 85.8 | 37.9 | 58.9 |
| Ours | na | na | 91.2 | 90.8 | na | 74.9 | 64.1 | 54.8 | 51.2 | 83.2 | 65.7 | 68.2 | 93.2 | 38.0 | 65.9 |

Table 1. Comparisons with respect to human annotated feature points. We report percentage of correspondences whose error are below $0.1 d$, where $d=\max (w, h)$ for images and $d$ is the shape diameter for 3D shapes, respectively. (Top) Symmetry detection. Images:Sym-IN [6] and SymII-IN [13]. Shapes:Sym-IN [25] and SymII-IN [40]. (Middle) Evaluation of object maps after factoring out the underlying self-symmetry group. Baseline approaches: Huang14:[19], Zhou15a:[66], Zhou15b:[67], Cosmo17:[7]. (Bottom). Evaluation of object maps in the original space.

Table 1 collects the statistics of each method when $\delta=0.1 \max (w, h)$, where $w$ and $h$ are width and height of an input image.
Joint map and symmetry detection improves symmetry detection. As shown in Table 1(Top), our approach can drastically improve the input symmetry detection. For the first set of input symmetries (i.e., using [6]), our approach improves from $71.2 \%$ and $68.7 \%$ on Stool and TrashContainer, respectively, to $84.5 \%$ and $81.2 \%$, respectively. For the second set of input symmetries (i.e., using [13]), our approach improves from $65.9 \%$ and $63.1 \%$ on Stool and TrashContainer, respectively, to $79.8 \%$ and $77.4 \%$, respectively. Figure 4 (Left) shows that the improvements are consistent when varying the error threshold.
Analysis of correspondence quality. Our approach outperforms baseline approaches considerably. Specifically, on Stool and TrashContainer, our approach achieved $80.6 \%$ and $75.2 \%$, respectively. In contrast, the top-performing state-of-the-art methods only had $68.2 \%$ (from [67]) and $62.8 \%$ (from [19]), respectively. Figure 4(Middle) shows that the improvements are also consistent when varying the error threshold. One explanation is that due to the underlying symmetry, there are multiple plausible maps between each object pair. However, all existing methods are forced to output a single consistent map. In this case, it turns out their output tends to average multiple plausible maps, and the resulting correspondences may not be consistent across different feature points from the same image. It follows that their output may be far from the underlying map even after factoring out the underlying symmetry group.

To see the usefulness of the output of our approach, we perform SFM on each dataset of Stool and TrashContainer in isolation. Specifically, we run rigid reconstruction from optimized feature correspondences with an orthographic camera model and annotated viewpoints. As illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 3, our approach can recover 3D point clouds that reflect the overall shapes of the Stool


Fig. 4. Quantitative evaluations of joint map and symmetry synchronization on 2D images. (Left) Symmetry detection on Stool and TrashContainer. (Middle) Object matching evaluation in the quotient space on Stool and TrashContainer. (Right) Object matching evaluation in the original space on Sedan and SUV.
and TrashContainer. In contrast, the method of [67] was not successful on these two datasets.

We proceed to quantitatively compare our approach with baseline approaches on Sedan and SUV. In this case, we simply set the diagonal blocks as identity matrices in our approach. As shown in Table 1 and Figure 4(Right), our approach outperforms existing approaches. The benefits come from the product operator, which enables the joint matching procedure to detect consistent correspondence pairs obtained in the graph matching phase. Similar to using pair-wise consistency for boosting the performance of graph matching, these consistent correspondence pairs enhance optimized correspondences.
Timing. Our approach is efficient. In average, the running time of our approach on each dataset is $137.0 s$ using a Matlab implementation on a single-core 3.2G CPU with 32 G memory. This includes 12.5 s for converting point-based maps into functional maps, 95.6 s for joint map and symmetry optimization, and 28.9 s for converting functional maps back to the original point-based maps.

### 6.2 Experimental Evaluations on 3D Shapes

Experimental Setup. We perform experimental evaluation on SHREC07Watertight [15], which is a challenging dataset for evaluating shape maps. Specifically, SHREC07-Watertight contains 400 shapes across 20 categories. Among them, we choose 10 categories (i.e., Ant, Armadillo, Bird, Fish, Fourleg, Glasses, Hand, Human, Plane, and Octopus) that are suitable for inter-shape mapping. In particular, Octopus contains a non-trivial rotational symmetry group. Each other category contains a reflection symmetry. All categories have human-annotated correspondences for experimental evaluation. As for symmetry detection, we employ [25]. We also tested on [40] to see if the improvements are consistent with respect to different input symmetries. For pair-wise maps, we employ blended intrinsic maps [26]. We consider the same set of baseline approaches described in Section 6.1 except [66], which is specifically designed for image matching. In terms of evaluation protocol, we report the percentage of feature correspondences whose geodesic errors fall into a varying threshold in $[0,0.1 d]$, where $d$ is the diameter of each shape in geodesic distance.


Fig. 5. Quantitative evaluations of joint map and symmetry synchronization on SHREC07-Watertight. (Left) Symmetry detection. (Middle) Object matching evaluation in the quotient space. (Right) Object matching evaluation in the original space.

Analysis of results. We first evaluate our approach on the performance of symmetry detection. As show in Table 1, our approach improves the quality of input symmetries obtained from [25], by $23.7 \%$ and $12.5 \%$ on Octopus and remaining models, respectively. Replacing the input symmetries by the ones computed from [40], the improvements become $19.7 \%$ and $13.3 \%$ on Octopus and remaining categories, respectively. As shown in Figure 5(Left), the improvements are also consistent when varying the cutoff threshold. This again shows the huge potential of detecting symmetries jointly among an object collection.

Table 1 and Figure 5 compare our approach with baseline approaches for joint map optimization. Our approach outperforms baseline approaches both in the quotient space (i.e., after factoring out the self-symmetry) and in the original space. In particular, the relative improvements between our approach and baseline approaches in the quotient space are higher than those in the original space. This justifies the promise of joint map and symmetry optimization.
Timing. We employ a full observation graph on each category. The average running time on the same Desktop for each category is 560.1 s, where functional map conversion, joint map and symmetry recovery and point-based map extraction take $38.2 \mathrm{~s}, 313.1 \mathrm{~s}$ and 208.8 s , respectively.

## 7 Conclusions

In this paper, we have described an approach for joint map and symmetry synchronization. Our approach builds on a novel symmetry and map representation using the tensor operator. Based on the this representation, we introduce a non-convex optimization scheme for recovering consistent symmetry groups and pair-wise maps from noisy input. Experimental results demonstrate that our approach is better than state-of-the-art methods for joint map synchronization without symmetry detection and symmetry detection from each object in isolation.
Acknowledgement. Qixing Huang would like to acknowledge support of this research from NSF DMS-1700234, a Gift from Snap Research, and a hardware Donation from NVIDIA.

## References

1. Bajaj, C., Gao, T., He, Z., Huang, Q., Liang, Z.: SMAC: simultaneous mapping and clustering using spectral decompositions. In: Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2018, Stockholmsmässan, Stockholm, Sweden, July 10-15, 2018. pp. 334-343 (2018), http://proceedings.mlr.press/v80/ bajaj18a.html 23
2. Berg, A.C., Berg, T.L., Malik, J.: Shape matching and object recognition using low distortion correspondences. In: CVPR (1). pp. 26-33. IEEE Computer Society (2005) 1
3. Bregler, C., Hertzmann, A., Biermann, H.: Recovering non-rigid 3d shape from image streams. In: CVPR. pp. 2690-2696. IEEE Computer Society (2000) 1
4. Carreira, J., Kar, A., Tulsiani, S., Malik, J.: Virtual view networks for object reconstruction. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Regognition (CVPR) (2015) 10, 11
5. Chen, Y., Guibas, L.J., Huang, Q.: Near-optimal joint object matching via convex relaxation. In: Proceedings of the 31th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2014, Beijing, China, 21-26 June 2014. pp. 100-108. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, NY, USA (2014) 1, 3
6. Cho, M., Lee, J., Lee, K.M.: Reweighted random walks for graph matching. In: ECCV (5). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6315, pp. 492-505. Springer (2010) 11, 12
7. Cosmo, L., Rodolà, E., Albarelli, A., Mémoli, F., Cremers, D.: Consistent partial matching of shape collections via sparse modeling. Comput. Graph. Forum 36(1), 209-221 (2017) 3, 11, 12
8. Crandall, D., Owens, A., Snavely, N., Huttenlocher, D.: SfM with MRFs: Discretecontinuous optimization for large-scale structure from motion. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI) 35(12), 2841-2853 (December 2013) 1
9. Dai, Y., Li, H., He, M.: A simple prior-free method for non-rigid structure-frommotion factorization. International Journal of Computer Vision 107(2), 101-122 (2014) 1
10. Daubechies, I., DeVore, R.A., Fornasier, M., Güntürk, C.S.: Iteratively reweighted least squares minimization: Proof of faster than linear rate for sparse recovery. In: 42nd Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, CISS 2008, Princeton, NJ, USA, 19-21 March 2008. pp. 26-29 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1109/CISS.2008.4558489 23
11. Diaconis, P.: Group representations in probability and statistics. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, 11, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA (1988) 5
12. Dollár, P., Zitnick, C.L.: Structured forests for fast edge detection. In: Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 1841-1848. ICCV '13, IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA (2013). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2013.231, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ ICCV. 2013. 231 2, 11
13. Duchenne, O., Bach, F.R., Kweon, I., Ponce, J.: A tensor-based algorithm for highorder graph matching. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33(12), 2383-2395 (2011) 11, 12
14. Dym, N., Maron, H., Lipman, Y.: Ds++: A flexible, scalable and provably tight relaxation for matching problems. ACM Trans. Graph. 36(6), 184:1-184:14 (Nov
2017). https://doi.org/10.1145/3130800.3130826, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 3130800.31308264
15. Giorgi, D., Biasotti, S., Paraboschi, L.: Shape retrieval contest 2007: Watertight models track (2007) 13
16. Huang, Q.X., Guibas, L.: Consistent shape maps via semidefinite programming. Computer Graphics Forum 32(5), 177-186 (2013) 1, 3
17. Huang, Q., Zhang, G., Gao, L., Hu, S., Butscher, A., Guibas, L.J.: An optimization approach for extracting and encoding consistent maps in a shape collection. ACM Trans. Graph. 31(6), 167:1-167:11 (2012) 1
18. Huang, Q., Chen, Y., Guibas, L.: Scalable semidefinite relaxation for maximum a posterior estimation. In: Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 32(2). pp. 64-72 (2014) 2, 3
19. Huang, Q., Wang, F., Guibas, L.: Functional map networks for analyzing and exploring large shape collections. ACM Trans. Graph. 33(4), 36:1-36:11 (Jul 2014). https://doi.org/10.1145/2601097.2601111, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 2601097. 2601111 1, 11, 12
20. Huang, X., Liang, Z., Bajaj, C., Huang, Q.: Translation synchronization via truncated least squares. In: NIPS (2017) 1, 3, 23
21. van Kaick, O., Zhang, H., Hamarneh, G., Cohen-Or, D.: A survey on shape correspondence. Computer Graphics Forum 30(6), 1681-1707 (2011) 1
22. Kerber, J., Bokeloh, M., Wand, M., Seidel, H.P.: Scalable symmetry detection for urban scenes. Computer Graphics Forum 32(1), 3-15 (2013) 3
23. Kezurer, I., Kovalsky, S.Z., Basri, R., Lipman, Y.: Tight relaxation of quadratic matching. Comput. Graph. Forum 34(5), 115-128 (2015) 2, 4
24. Kim, V.G., Li, W., Mitra, N.J., DiVerdi, S., Funkhouser, T.: Exploring collections of 3d models using fuzzy correspondences. ACM Trans. Graph. 31(4), 54:1-54:11 (Jul 2012). https://doi.org/10.1145/2185520.2185550 1
25. Kim, V.G., Lipman, Y., Chen, X., Funkhouser, T.A.: Möbius transformations for global intrinsic symmetry analysis. Comput. Graph. Forum 29(5), 1689-1700 (2010) 3, 12, 13, 14
26. Kim, V.G., Lipman, Y., Funkhouser, T.: Blended intrinsic maps. ACM Trans. Graph. 30(4), 79:1-79:12 (Jul 2011) 13, 25
27. Kumar, M.P., Kolmogorov, V., Torr, P.H.S.: An analysis of convex relaxations for MAP estimation of discrete mrfs. Journal of Machine Learning Research 10, 71-106 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1145/1577069.1577072, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 1577069.1577072 2, 3
28. Lasowski, R., Tevs, A., Seidel, H., Wand, M.: A probabilistic framework for partial intrinsic symmetries in geometric data. In: ICCV. pp. 963-970. IEEE Computer Society, Kyoto, Japan (2009) 3
29. Leonardos, S., Zhou, X., Daniilidis, K.: Distributed consistent data association via permutation synchronization. In: ICRA. pp. 2645-2652. IEEE (2017) 1
30. Lipman, Y., Funkhouser, T.: MÖbius voting for surface correspondence. ACM Trans. Graph. 28(3), 72:1-72:12 (Jul 2009). https://doi.org/10.1145/1531326.1531378, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 1531326. 15313784
31. Liu, C., Yuen, J., Torralba, A.: Nonparametric scene parsing via label transfer. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33(12), 2368-2382 (2011) 1
32. Liu, C., Yuen, J., Torralba, A.: Sift flow: Dense correspondence across scenes and its applications. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 33(5), 978-994 (May 2011) 4, 25
33. Liu, T., Kim, V.G., Funkhouser, T.A.: Finding surface correspondences using symmetry axis curves. Comput. Graph. Forum 31(5), 1607-1616 (August 2012) 3
34. Lowe, D.G.: Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. Int. J. Comput. Vision 60(2), 91-110 (Nov 2004). https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VISI.0000029664.99615.94, https://doi.org/10. 1023/B:VISI.0000029664.99615.94 4
35. Markovsky, I.: Low Rank Approximation: Algorithms, Implementation, Applications. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated (2011) 24
36. Mitra, N.J., Guibas, L.J., Pauly, M.: Partial and approximate symmetry detection for 3d geometry. ACM Trans. Graph. 25(3), 560-568 (July 2006) 3
37. Nguyen, A., Ben-Chen, M., Welnicka, K., Ye, Y., Guibas, L.J.: An optimization approach to improving collections of shape maps. Comput. Graph. Forum 30(5), 1481-1491 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8659.2011.02022.x 1
38. Ovsjanikov, M., Ben-Chen, M., Solomon, J., Butscher, A., Guibas, L.J.: Functional maps: a flexible representation of maps between shapes. ACM Trans. Graph. 31(4), 30:1-30:11 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1145/2185520.2185526 1, 2, 5, 8, 9
39. Ovsjanikov, M., Mérigot, Q., Patraucean, V., Guibas, L.J.: Shape matching via quotient spaces. Comput. Graph. Forum 32(5), 1-11 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12167, https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12167 2, 3
40. Ovsjanikov, M., Sun, J., Guibas, L.J.: Global intrinsic symmetries of shapes. Comput. Graph. Forum 27(5), 1341-1348 (2008) 3, 12, 13, 14
41. Pachauri, D., Kondor, R., Sargur, G., Singh, V.: Permutation diffusion maps (pdm) with application to the image association problem in computer vision. In: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 1. pp. 541-549. NIPS'14, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA (2014), http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2968826.2968887 23
42. Pachauri, D., Kondor, R., Singh, V.: Solving the multi-way matching problem by permutation synchronization. In: Burges, C.J.C., Bottou, L., Welling, M., Ghahramani, Z., Weinberger, K.Q. (eds.) Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26, pp. 18601868. Curran Associates, Inc. (2013), http://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 4987-solving-the-multi-way-matching-problem-by-permutation-synchronization. pdf 1
43. Pachauri, D., Kondor, R., Singh, V.: Solving the multi-way matching problem by permutation synchronization. In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2. pp. 1860-1868. NIPS'13, Curran Associates Inc., USA (2013), http://dl.acm.org/citation. cfm?id=2999792.2999820 23
44. Podolak, J., Shilane, P., Golovinskiy, A., Rusinkiewicz, S., Funkhouser, T.: A planar-reflective symmetry transform for 3d shapes. ACM Trans. Graph. 25(3), 549-559 (July 2006) 3
45. Raviv, D., Bronstein, A.M., Bronstein, M.M., Kimmel, R.: Full and partial symmetries of non-rigid shapes. International Journal of Computer Vision 89(1), 18-39 (2010) 3
46. Raviv, D., Bronstein, M.M., Sapiro, G., Bronstein, A.M., Kimmel, R.: Diffusion symmetries of non-rigid shapes. In: In Proc. 3DPVT (2010) 3
47. Rodolà, E., Cosmo, L., Bronstein, M.M., Torsello, A., Cremers, D.: Partial functional correspondence. CoRR abs/1506.05274 (2015), http://arxiv.org/abs/ 1506.052748
48. Rubinstein, M., Joulin, A., Kopf, J., Liu, C.: Unsupervised joint object discovery and segmentation in internet images. In: CVPR. pp. 1939-1946. IEEE Computer Society (2013), http://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/conf/cvpr/cvpr2013. html\#RubinsteinJKL13 1
49. Rubio, J.C., Serrat, J., López, A.M., Paragios, N.: Unsupervised co-segmentation through region matching. In: CVPR. pp. 749-756. IEEE Computer Society (2012) 1
50. Russell, B., Torralba, A., Liu, C., Fergus, R., Freeman, W.T.: Object recognition by scene alignment. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 201
51. Shen, Y., Huang, Q., Srebro, N., Sanghavi, S.: Normalized spectral map synchronization. In: NIPS. pp. 4925-4933. Curran Associates, Barcelona, Spain (2016) 1, 3, 23
52. Shi, Z., Alliez, P., Desbrun, M., Bao, H., Huang, J.: Symmetry and orbit detection via lie-algebra voting. Comput. Graph. Forum 35(5), 217-227 (2016) 3
53. Singer, A., Wu, H.T.: Spectral convergence of the connection laplacian from random samples. Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA 35(6), 58-123 (2017) 22
54. Snavely, N., Seitz, S.M., Szeliski, R.: Photo tourism: Exploring photo collections in 3d. ACM Trans. Graph. 25(3), 835-846 (Jul 2006). https://doi.org/10.1145/1141911.1141964, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 1141911.1141964 1
55. Tam, G.K.L., Martin, R.R., Rosin, P.L., Lai, Y.K.: Diffusion pruning for rapidly and robustly selecting global correspondences using local isometry. ACM Trans. Graph. 33(1), 4:1-4:17 (Feb 2014) 25
56. Taniai, T., Sinha, S.N., Sato, Y.: Joint recovery of dense correspondence and cosegmentation in two images. In: 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 2730, 2016. pp. 4246-4255 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.460, https: //doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.460 1
57. Tevs, A., Huang, Q., Wand, M., Seidel, H.P., Guibas, L.: Relating shapes via geometric symmetries and regularities. ACM Trans. Graph. 33(4), 119:1-119:12 (Jul 2014). https://doi.org/10.1145/2601097.2601220, http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/ 2601097. 26012203
58. Vestner, M., Litman, R., Rodola, E., Bronstein, A., Cremers, D.: Product manifold filter: Non-rigid shape correspondence via kernel density estimation in the product space. In: The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (July 2017) 2, 4
59. Vicente, S., Carreira, J., Agapito, L., Batista, J.: Reconstructing PASCAL VOC. In: CVPR. pp. 41-48. IEEE Computer Society (2014) 10, 11
60. Wang, F., Huang, Q., Guibas, L.: Image co-segmentation via consistent functional maps. In: In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) (2013) 1
61. Wang, F., Huang, Q., Guibas, L.J.: Image co-segmentation via consistent functional maps. In: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2013, Sydney, Australia, December 1-8, 2013. pp. 849-856. Computer Vision Foundation., Sydney, Australia (2013) 8
62. Wang, H., Huang, H.: Group Representation of Global Intrinsic Symmetries. Computer Graphics Forum 36, 51-61 (October 2017) 3
63. Wang, H., Simari, P., Su, Z., Zhang, H.: Spectral global intrinsic symmetry invariant functions. In: Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2014. pp. 209-215. GI '14,

Canadian Information Processing Society, Toronto, Ont., Canada, Canada (2014), http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2619648.2619683 3
64. Xu, K., Zhang, H., Jiang, W., Dyer, R., Cheng, Z., Liu, L., Chen, B.: Multi-scale partial intrinsic symmetry detection. ACM Trans. Graph. 31(6), 181:1-181:11 (Nov 2012) 3
65. Xu, K., Zhang, H., Tagliasacchi, A., Liu, L., Li, G., Meng, M., Xiong, Y.: Partial intrinsic reflectional symmetry of 3d shapes. ACM Trans. Graph. 28(5), 138:1138:10 (Dec 2009) 3
66. Zhou, T., Lee, Y.J., Yu, S.X., Efros, A.A.: Flowweb: Joint image set alignment by weaving consistent, pixel-wise correspondences. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2015, Boston, MA, USA, June 7-12, 2015. pp. 1191-1200 (2015) 1, 11, 12, 13
67. Zhou, X., Zhu, M., Daniilidis, K.: Multi-image matching via fast alternating minimization. In: ICCV. pp. 4032-4040. IEEE Computer Society, Santiago, Chile (2015) $1,3,10,11,12,13$

## A Ambiguities When Encoding Correspondences

For example, when $m=4$, the following two symmetry groups lead to the same encoding:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \leftarrow\left\{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \leftarrow\left\{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B Proof of Propositions

## B. 1 Proof of Proposition 1

Let $P \in[0,1]^{m \times m}$ be any permutation in $\mathcal{G}$. For any $0 \leq i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2}<m$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}(P \otimes P)_{i_{1} m+i_{2}, j_{1} m+j_{2}}=1 \\
\Leftrightarrow & (P \otimes P)_{i_{1} m+j_{1}, i_{2} m+j_{2}}=1 \\
\Leftrightarrow & P_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=P_{j_{1}, j_{2}}=1 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \operatorname{vec}(P)_{i_{1} m+i_{2}}=\operatorname{vec}(P)_{j_{1} m+j_{2}}=1 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(\operatorname{vec}(P) \cdot \operatorname{vec}(P)^{T}\right)_{i_{1} m+i_{2}, j_{1} m+j_{2}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

By summation over all the elements of $P$ we finish the proof.

## B. 2 Proof of Proposition 1

Fact. 1 essentially says that the $\operatorname{rank}$ of $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ is equal to the size of $\mathcal{G}$. Moreover, let $B$ be an arbitrary basis of the leading eigen-space of $\mathcal{F}(Q)$, then $\operatorname{vec}(P), \forall P \in$ $\mathcal{G}$ are contained in the column space of $B$. It remains to show that one can determine the corresponding coefficient vector $\mathbf{c}_{P}$ for each self-symmetry $P$. Consider a maximum orbit $\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{|\mathcal{G}|}\right\}$. Denote $F=\left(\mathbf{e}_{\left(i_{1}, i_{1}\right)}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{\left(i_{|\mathcal{G}|}, i_{|\mathcal{G}|}\right)}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{m^{2} \times|\mathcal{G}|}$. Then for each element $i_{j} \in\left\{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{|\mathcal{G}|}\right\}$. It is easy to check that we can solve the following linear system to uniquely recover the permutation $P_{j}$ that contains $\left(i_{1}, i_{j}\right)$ as a correspondence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{T} B \mathbf{c}_{P_{j}}=\mathbf{e}_{j} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ends the proof. Note that the proof utilizes the fact that the group size is identical to the maximal orbit size.

## B. 3 Proof of Proposition 2

We prove that $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ can be recovered from its tensor operator $Q_{10}$ by showing that $\mathcal{M}_{10}$ is a cyclic group. Specifically, although $\left|S_{1}\right|$ may initially be different from $\left|S_{0}\right|$, as long as there is one point $i$ in $S_{1}$, which is mapped under $E_{1}$ to some point $j$ in $S_{0}$, such that $j$ has an orbit of size $|\mathcal{G}|$ under $\mathcal{G}$. Then $i$ becomes a point with an orbit of size $\left|\mathcal{M}_{10}\right|$ under $\mathcal{M}_{10}$. By definition this means $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ is a cyclic group, and can be recovered with techniques provided in the proof of Proposition 1.

## B. 4 Proof of Proposition 3

We prove that maps in $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ are perpendicular to each other by two steps. First we add auxiliary points to $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ to make $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{0}$ of the same size. Now $P_{10}$ and $P_{20}$ become bijections, or in other words $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{0}$ are of the same size. Now the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ admit one-to-one correspondences with the elements of $\mathcal{G}$. In other words, all elements of $S_{1}$ has an orbit of size $\left|\mathcal{M}_{12}\right|$ under the maps. And for any $P_{12} \neq P_{12}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{12}$, an element is always mapped to different elements, indicating $\left\langle\operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right), \operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}^{\prime}\right\rangle=0\right.$.

We then remove all these auxiliary points from $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. As a result, columns and rows of each map $P_{12}$ that corresponds to the auxillary points will be removed. Since entries of $P_{12}$ is either 0 or $1,\left\langle\operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}\right), \operatorname{vec}\left(P_{12}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right.$ remains 0 after the removal, which ends the proof.

## B. 5 Discussion on the Orthogonality of self-symmetries under the functional-map representation

We provide an analysis on why the underlying functional maps $\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}\right), P_{i j} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{i j}$ are expected to be orthogonal to each other. If so, then clearly we will have

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \cdot \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}\right) \approx\left\|\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}\right)\right\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}\right)
$$

or in other words,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \cdot \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-\right\| \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\left\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|
$$

is a suitable formulation as a "measurement" of each self-symmetry group.
Consider two permutations $P_{i j}$ and $P_{i j}^{\prime}$ from the underlying symmetry group. We assume the non-zero elements of $P_{i j}$ and $P_{i j}^{\prime}$ do not overlap for simplicity. By definition, we have

$$
X_{i j}=B_{j}^{T} P_{i j} B_{i}, \quad X_{i j}^{\prime}=B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i}
$$

Now let us understand why $\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}\right)$ is approximately orthogonal to vec $\left(X_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$. Denote $m_{i}$ as the number of points from $S_{i}$. Let us first consider $m_{i}=m_{j}=k$,
where $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ are square matrices. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle X_{i j}^{\prime}, X_{i j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i}, B_{j}^{T} P_{i j} B_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{Trace}\left(B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i} B_{i}^{T} P_{i j}^{T} B_{j}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Trace}\left(B_{j} B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i} B_{i}^{T} P_{i j}^{T}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Trace}\left(P_{i j}^{\prime} P_{i j}^{T}\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the general case where $k \ll \min \left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)$, we use the a basic fact that Laplacian basis vectors are smooth, or in other words, we can find $k$ samples from each surface. Let $\tilde{B}_{i}$ and $\tilde{B}_{j}$ collect their corresponding columns, and $\tilde{P}_{i j}$ and $\tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime}$ be their corresponding maps on the sampled sets, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}_{i}^{T} \tilde{B}_{i} & \approx \frac{k}{m_{i}} B_{i}^{T} B_{i}=\frac{k}{m_{i}} I_{k}, \\
\tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{B}_{j} & \approx \frac{k}{m_{j}} B_{j}^{T} B_{j}=\frac{k}{m_{j}} I_{k}, \\
\tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{P}_{i j} \tilde{B}_{i} & \approx \frac{k}{m_{i j}} B_{j}^{T} P_{i j} B_{i}, \\
\tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime} \tilde{B}_{i} & \approx \frac{k}{m_{i j}} B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{i j}=\mathbf{1}^{T} P_{i j} \mathbf{1}$. Please refer to ([53]) for more details.
Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle X_{i j}, X_{i j}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle B_{j}^{T} P_{i j} B_{i}, B_{j}^{T} P_{i j}^{\prime} B_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \approx \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{k^{2}}\left\langle\tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{P}_{i j} \tilde{B}_{i}, \tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime} \tilde{B}_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \approx \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{k^{2}}\left\langle\tilde{B}_{j} \tilde{B}_{j}^{T} \tilde{P}_{i j} \tilde{B}_{i} \tilde{B}_{i}^{T}, \tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& \approx\left\langle\tilde{P}_{i j}, \tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equation is due to the fact that non-zero elements of $\tilde{P}_{i j}$ and $\tilde{P}_{i j}^{\prime}$ do not overlap.

## C Symmetry Group and Map Decoding via Alternating Optimization

In this section, we describe details of the decoding scheme of symmetry groups under the reduced representation. Specifically, let $W_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{k^{2} \times r}$ be a basis of the leading eigen-space of $\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i 0}\right)$. In our experiments, we set $r$ as the maximal spectral-gap in the leading singular values of $\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i 0}\right)$ :

$$
r=\underset{1 \leq i \leq k^{2}-1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda_{i}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i 0}\right)\right)-\lambda_{i+1}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i 0}\right)\right)
$$

This strategy is motivated from spectral stability, i.e., eigen-values and the space spanned by leading eigen-values are stable under-perturbation (c.f.[1]).

Given $W_{i}$, we optimize a coefficient matrix $C=\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, whose columns specify the underlying functional maps $X_{i, l}, 1 \leq l \leq r$, i.e., $\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i, l}\right)=$ $W_{i} \mathbf{c}_{l}$. We also introduce the point-based maps $P_{i, l}, 1 \leq l \leq r$ as latent variables, which are optimized together with $C$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{P_{i, l}, X_{i, l}, 1 \leq l \leq r} \sum_{l=1}^{r}\left\|X_{i, l} B_{i}^{T}-B^{T} P_{i, l}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}, \quad \text { s.t. } \quad U_{i} \mathbf{c}_{l}=\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i, l}\right), 1 \leq l \leq r . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice, we found (20) can be effectively solved via alternating minimization. When $C$ is fixed, $P_{i, l}$ are given by nearest neighbor query. When $P_{i, l}$ are fixed, $C$ is given by solving a linear system. In particular, we found the optimal solution is insensitive to the initial $C$ when factoring out the order of the underlying maps $\left\{X_{i, l}\right\}$. We choose a random unitary matrix as the initial value for $C$.

Note that this procedure is applicable to symmetry group recovery on $\bar{S}_{0}$ as well.

## D Reweighted Non-Linear Least Squares for Optimizing (16)

We combine spectral initialization and numerical optimization to optimize (16). Spectral initialization is motivated from the success of computing the leading eigenvectors of data matrices that store noisy pairwise maps to approximate the underlying ground-truth maps [43,41,51]. Specifically, we construct a data matrix $\bar{Y}^{i n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n k^{2} \times n k^{2}}$, whose blocks are given by

$$
\bar{Y}_{i j}^{i n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{i} d_{j}}} Y_{i j}^{i n} & (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}  \tag{21}\\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We then compute $Z^{\text {spec }} \in \mathbb{R}^{n k^{2} \times k^{2}}$, which stores the leading $k^{2}$ eigenvectors of $\bar{Y}^{i n}$.

Given $Z^{\text {spec }}$, we initialize $Z^{\text {in }}=s^{\frac{1}{2}} Z^{\text {spec }}$ by optimizing $s$ to minimize

$$
\min _{s \geq 0} \sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}}\left\|Y_{i j}^{i n}-s Z_{j}^{T} \cdot Z_{i}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

which leads to a convex program.
Given $Z^{\text {in }}$, we employ reweighted non-linear least squares $[10,20]$ to solve (16), where we change the objective function to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{Y, Z} \sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}} w_{i j}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-\right\| \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\left\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|Y-Z Z^{T}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w_{i j}$ are updated during the optimization process. More precisely, let $Y^{(t-1)}$ denote the solution to (22) at iteration $t-1$, we set the weights at the next iteration as

$$
w_{i j}^{(t)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_{t}^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-\right\| \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\left\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|^{2}}}, \quad \forall(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}
$$

Here parameter $\sigma_{t}=\delta^{t}$ is a geometrically decaying parameter, and we set $\delta=$ 0.95 in all of our experiments. We stop this truncated procedure when $\sigma_{t}<0.05$ in all of our experiments. Note that in the limit, $w_{i j} \rightarrow 1 / \| \mathcal{F}\left(\hat{Y}_{i j}^{(t-1)}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-$ $\left\|\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right) \|^{2}$, and (22) becomes to (16).

To solve (22), we alternate between optimizing $Y$ and $Z$. Let $Z_{i}^{(t)}$ be the current solution of $Z$. When $Z$ is fixed, the optimal value of $Y_{i j}^{(t)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{i j}^{(t)} & =\underset{Y_{i j}}{\operatorname{argmin}} w_{i j}^{(t)}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(Y_{i j}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)-\right\| \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\left\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|Y_{i j}-Z_{j}^{(t)^{T}} Z_{i}^{(t)}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \\
& =\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(w_{i j} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)^{T}+\lambda I\right)^{-1}\left(w_{i j}\left\|\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)\right\|^{2} \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i j}^{i n}\right)+\lambda \mathcal{F}\left(Z_{j}^{(t)^{T}} Z_{i}^{(t)}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $Y^{(t)}$, it is well known that (c.f.[35]) the optimal value of

$$
Z^{(t)}=U_{k^{2}} \max \left(0, \Sigma_{k^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $U_{k^{2}}$ and $\Sigma_{k^{2}}$ collect the leading $k^{2}$ eigen-vectors and eigenvalues of $Y^{(t)}$, respectively. Here $\max (\cdot, \cdot))$ is applied element-wise.

## E Optimizing (18) via Alternating Optimization

We apply alternating minimization to solve (18). Starting from $X_{i 0}=I_{k}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq n$, we first fix $X_{i 0}$ to find the optimal $V$ via

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\underset{V^{\prime}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V^{\prime} \cdot Z_{i}^{\star T}-\cdot\left(X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}\right) Z_{i}^{\star}+\mu I_{k^{2}}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}^{\star T} Z_{i}^{\star}+\mu I_{k^{2}}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We then fix $V$ to solve for $X_{i 0}$. In this case, $X_{i 0}$ are decoupled and we compute each of them via
$\min _{X_{i 0}}\left\|V Z_{i}^{\star T}-X_{i 0} \otimes X_{i 0}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2} \Longleftrightarrow \min _{X_{i 0}}\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(V Z_{i}^{\star T}\right)-\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i 0}\right) \cdot \operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i 0}\right)^{T}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}$
In this case, $\operatorname{vec}\left(X_{i 0}\right)=\sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{u}$, where $\lambda$ and $\mathbf{u}$ are the leading eigenvalue and eigenvector of $\mathcal{F}\left(Z_{i}^{\star T} V^{-1}\right)$, respectively. We then alternate between these two operations until the difference between the value of $V$ at consecutive iterations $\left\|V^{(t+1)}-V^{(t)}\right\| \leq 10^{-4}$.

|  | SymI-In |  |  | SymI-Out SymII-In SymII-Out | Input | Huang[18] | Zhou[59] | Cosmo[6] | Ours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aliens | 68.2 | 81.3 | 64.9 | 76.9 | 47.7 | 62.9 | 64.6 | 63.8 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 4}$ |
| Vase | 65.3 | 78.4 | 60.1 | 75.2 | 51.2 | 61.6 | 57.7 | 58.2 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5}$ |

Table 2. Results on ShapeCoseg. Symmetries are evaluated via annotated key points. Inter-shape maps are evaluated via ground-truth semantic segmentations.

## F Ablation Study

We provide an ablation study to assess the stability of our approach with respect to the input maps and basis dimension.


Fig. 6. (a) Varying the input maps on SHREC07. (b) Varying the input maps on Sedan and SUV. (c) Sensitivity of basis dimension $k=25$.

Sensitivity to input maps. our approach is based on low-rank matrix recovery, where exact recovery is only assumed when the fraction of noisy correspondences is below a constant (which depends on the observation graph and the noise pattern). To test this, we performed two ways of varying the input: 1) Fixing the observation graph while replacing the input maps by SIFTFlow[32] for images (Sedan and SUV) and SpectralMatching [55] for shapes (SHREC07), and 2) Fixing the input maps while computing the observation graph by connecting each object with $k=6$ objects chosen at random. As illustrated in Figure 6(ab), we could see that when input maps are of reasonable quality, the gaps among the output maps are smaller than those among the input maps. This justifies the robustness to initial maps. However, when the input maps are bad (e.g, SIFT), the output maps are better than the input maps, although they may be far from satisfactory.
Basis dimension. The value of basis dimension $k$ is insensitive. As illustrated in Figure 6(c), we achieved similar performance on SHREC07 when using $k=20$ and $k=30$ eigen-vectors. We used $k=25$ as a trade-off between efficiency and accuracy.

## G Experiments on ShapeCOSEG

We also tested our approach on Aliens and Vase from ShapeCOSEG. The same as SHREC07, the input maps are given by blended intrinsic maps [26]. Due to
large shape variability, we evaluate with respect to the percentage of correspondences that map corresponding points of the same semantic labels (e.g., Handle to Handle, Seat to Seat, and Leg to Leg). As shown in Table 2, the relative improvements are consistent with those on SHREC07.

## H Additional Visualizations of Structure-From-Motion

In this section, we provide additional visualizations of the application of our approach in multi-view structure-from-motion. For each dataset, we show the (i) complete set of input images, (ii) matched feature points, and (iii) matched feature points with color-coding.

## H. 1 Stool Dataset

These images are taken from

```
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2&ccid=csALKAny&
    id=3A71463492BB5DBDBCEA4C5437F0E2ADAD746ED9&thid=0IP.csALKAnylzX82dyfXL4R0wHaHa&
    mediaurl=http%3a%2f%2fwww.goodwinrentals.com%2fwp-content%2fuploads%
    2f2014%2f12%2fBar-Stool-Natural1.png&exph=1000&expw=1000&q=stool&
    simid=608015166573709810&selectedIndex=29&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2&ccid=gPPtu5kL&
    id=DE2D67D378C15E7F54A773AD123A57AB501E0DFB&thid=OIP.gPPtu5kLMoFZm8EAd4kxrQHaFH&
    mediaurl=http%3a%2f%2fimages.hydra-lister.com%2fSimple_Value_Pair_
    of_Wooden_Kitchen_Stools_Natural_Finish_Si_6_res.JPG&exph=1104&
    expw=1600&q=Wood+Bar+Stools&simid=608030194630526318&selectedIndex=
    95&ajaxhist=0
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=stool&i=5
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=stool&i=1024
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=stool&i=4074
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=stool&i=7072
```


## H. 2 Trash Container Dataset

These images are taken from

```
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=trash%20container&
    i=724
- http://redwood-data.org/3dscan/dataset.html?c=trash%20container&
    i=2163
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2&ccid=SzZtFlqt&
    id=A593EF751C542D10F63AAAAE0FF4990C3988DA60&thid=0IP.SzZtFlqtUp3KgKeiEfzokwHaJA&
    mediaurl=http%3a%2f%2fwww.recycleaway.com%2fassets%2fimages%2fproduct-photos%
    2fRubbermaid%2f9W27-06.jpg&exph=1800&expw=1479&q=trash+container&
    simid=608002178545289796&selectedIndex=2&ajaxhist=0
```



Fig. 7. 16 input images of the Stool dataset, which include a mixture of product images and real images.


Fig. 8. Remaining feature points from our algorithm. The next paper shows their correspondences.


Fig. 9. Feature points with the same color indicate they are in correspondences. Our algorithm nicely recover correspondences across different objects as well as symmetric correspondences within each object

- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=MFDa\%2Brat\& id=18267BE7C7B1184DECF1DFF6596E9BAAC5603006\&thid=OIP.MFDa-ratDhUojYJcDid07AHaHa\& mediaurl=http\%3a\%2f\%2fwww.southernspreadwing.com\%2fwp-content\%2fuploads\% $2 f 2016 \% 2 f 01 \% 2 f$ galvanized-tubs-outdoor-trash-cans-garbage-cans-popular-rubbermaid-commer jpg\&exph=1200\&expw=1200\&q=trash+container\&simid=608017275342684266\& selectedIndex=16\&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=TK7\% $2 f 47 \mathrm{vf} \&$ id=F5ECD230533BBD2B0FB99BD06B1B1ABEFC7199CF\&thid=OIP.TK7_47vfYVpIEamZr0cwYgHaHa\& mediaurl=http\%3a\%2f\%2fwww.homedepot.com\%2fcatalog\%2fproductImages\% $2 f 1000 \% 2 f c 3 \% 2 f c 39 f 158 e-532 c-43 d f-8 f 8 c-48 a b 5 c b 94248 \_1000 . j p g \& e x p h=$ 1000\&expw=1000\&q=trash+container\&simid=608041949952148825\&selectedIndex= 183\&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=F1GoBpW8\& id=04A3A89945F9FD53F8324AF20FB6EFE8B2C24BEA\&thid=0IP.F1GoBpW8xwSEoqrHo JVyJAHaHa\& mediaurl=http\%3a\%2f\%2fi.ebayimg.com\%2fimages\%2fi\%2f122026422594-0-1\% $2 f s-11000 . j p g \& e x p h=1000 \& \operatorname{expw}=1000 \& q=t r a s h+c o n t a i n e r \& s i m i d=608004734068787556 \&$ selectedIndex=281\&ajaxhist=0 https://www.bing.com/images/search? view=detailV2\&ccid=5m\%2bkMT89\&id=C32FB46940C84FE7EDC8B7BAED9A1B9DD667C6A6\& thid=OIP.5m-kMT89I576dn6gQq01yQHaHa\&mediaurl=http\% 3 a\% 2 f\% $\%$ fi. ebayimg. com\%2fimages\%2fi\%2f201174021424-0-1\%2fs-l1000.jpg\&exph=1000\&expw= $1000 \& q=t r a s h+c o n t a i n e r \& s i m i d=608018366262217943 \& s e l e c t e d$ Index=403\& ajaxhist=0 https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid= awYEDRK1\&id=C4EA49CD89132F6817A9C98CC7E658F5982D890B\&thid=OIP . awYEDRK1qmUo-c0w5E4AfAHaGw mediaurl=http\%3a\%2f\%2fcdn2.buschsystems.com\%2fwp-content\%2fuploads\% $2 f 2015 \% 2 f 02 \% 2 f r e c y c l i n g-w a s t e-c a r t s \_f u l l-1-1000 x 912 . j p g \& e x p h=912 \&$ expw $=1000 \& q=$ trash+container\&simid=608021149448472718\&selectedIndex $=$ 427\&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=TTJ1E\%2F9W\& id=767D880E207F956142C449F658B9E089F9BA9CB2\&thid=0IP.TTJ1E_9WIBm1hj9r600TMwHaHa\& mediaurl=https\% $3 a \% 2 f \% 2$ fimages.homedepot-static.com $\% 2$ fproductImages $\%$ 2f21c0bfca-1cf5-4a21-a13f-fb69bac52254\%2fsvn\%2ftoter-commercial-trash-cans-25564-r17051000.jpg\&exph=1000\&expw=1000\&q=trash+container\&simid=607990960103755762\& selectedIndex=689\&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=fE0km6uT\& id=08E2BDB9A14DAAFC448D8CCF398DCC2498D77284\&thid=OIP.fEOkm6uTzpFhDRsw-ipJPwHaHa\& mediaurl=http\%3a\%2f\%2fcdn3.volusion.com\%2fruru6.74p94\%2fv\%2fvspfiles\% 2fphotos\%2fE24GKY88311-2.jpg\&exph=1500\&expw=1500\&q=Commercial+Trash+ Containers\&simid=608002032509257402\&selectedIndex=19\&ajaxhist=0
- https://www.bing.com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=quQ\%2BqhhE\& id=6110BE0D5B7D0D6BF42BE2A32FAE4F1EEF3E83BC\&thid=0IP.quQ-qhhEIKF6H_ V4J6mF5AHaHa\&mediaurl=https\%3a\%2f\%2fimages.homedepot-static.com\% 2fproductImages\%2f2f6d03c6-51a7-4500-9bda-84104f7d698b\%2fsvn\%2frubbermaid-commercial-prc 1000. jpg\&exph=1000\&expw=1000\&q=Commercial+Trash+Containers\&simid= 608054169098454705\&selectedIndex=182\&ajaxhist=0 https://www.bing. com/images/search?view=detailV2\&ccid=Jk3qP4KZ\&id=754BEA5CE735592803D648D36EEEDA5A79E6752 thid=OIP.Jk3qP4KZSpJUXYvo35L-lwHaHa\&mediaurl=https\%3a\%2f\%2fgospelalliancene.
com $\% 2$ fwp-content $\% 2$ fuploads $\% 2$ f $2017 \% 2$ f $11 \% 2$ fexciting-cardboard-trash-cans-home-depot-kitch jpg\&exph=1500\&expw=1500\&q=Commercial+Trash+Containers\&simid=607992918592456652\&
selectedIndex=374\&ajaxhist=0


Fig. 10. 16 input images of the Trash Container dataset, which include a mixture of product images and real images.


Fig. 11. Remaining feature points from our algorithm. The next paper shows their correspondences.


Fig. 12. Feature points with the same color indicate they are in correspondences. Our algorithm nicely recover correspondences across different objects as well as symmetric correspondences within each object


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Intuitively, the orbit collects correspondences induced from a symmetry group.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is also possible to enforce injectivity by solving a linear assignment.

